IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

FRIDAY, THE 14TH DECEMBER 2007 / 23RD AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 37089 of 2007(M)

PETITIONER:

PARISHA BEEVI ,W/O. LATE JAMALUDEEN
PUTHENVEETTIL, THEKKEMURIY,SOORANADU P.O., KOLLAM
REPRESENTD BY HER POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER
SHEEBA,W/O.ALAVUDEEN,PUTHENVEETTIL,
THEKKEMURY,SOORANADU NORTH, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.THOMAS ABRAHAM
SRI.DIPU.R
SMT.MERCIAMMA MATHEW

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
KOLLAM

2. DEPUTY COLLECTOR (GENERAL) AND
ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, KOLLAM.

3. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
ELECTRICAL SUB DIVISION, SASTHAMCOTTA.

4. NADEERA,PUTHENVEETTIL,
PADININJATTATHIL, SOORNADU NORTH
KOLLAM DISTRICT.

5. SULEKHA BEEVI,PUTHENVEETTIL,
PADININJATTATHIL, SOORNADU NORTH
KOLLAM DISTRICT.

R3 BY SC SRI. P.P. THAJUDEEN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 14/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

W.P.C. NO. 37089 OF 2007

Dated this the 14th day of December, 2007

JUDGMENT

There is no scope for interference with Ext.P1 notice issued by the Addl. District Magistrate on a reference made by Ele. Board. If petitioner has already filed objections, the same will be considered by the Addl. District Magistrate. Moreover, if petitioner can suggest alternate route, which causes lesser inconvenience to lesser number of people than the proposed route, then that should also be considered by the Addl. District Magistrate. W.P. is disposed of directing the second respondent to hear the objections of the petitioner and such other owners and grant approval for a suitable route for drawing electric line to give connection to the fourth respondent or any other beneficiary. If line has to pass through any other property, owner of such property should also be heard before orders are passed approving the route.

(C.N. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR)
Judge